

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/034,224

12/28/2001

Matthew J. Wagner

1662-55300 (P01-3881)

9085

22879

7590

10/19/2006

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
 P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
 FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

MILORD, MARCEAU

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2618

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/034,224	Applicant(s) WAGNER ET AL.	
	Examiner Marceau Milord	Art Unit 2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constien (US Patent No 6259932 B1) and Kikinis et al (US Patent No 5799068) and Kulberg et al (US Patent No 5850612).

Regarding claims 1, 4-5, Constien discloses a computer system (figs. 1-3), comprising: a processor; a display (13 of figs. 2-3) coupled to said processor (col. 6, lines 11- 49), said display having an external casing in which a plurality of externally exposed recessed cavities are provided (9 of figs. 1-3; col. 2, lines 26-65; col. 4, lines 2- 46; col. 6, line 53- col. 7, line 54).

However, Constien does not specifically disclose the features of “ at least one recessed cavities is adapted to receive a radio module and another recessed cavity is adapted to receive an antenna module, the radio module being electrically connected to the antenna module”.

On the other hand, Kikinis et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a telephone system that employs digital signal processing in a digital telephone having a serial link for connection to a general-purpose computer. The Smart Phone is the central intelligence for the system, which may utilize a PBX connected in a LAN network to multiple computers, including file servers, and each computer may have one or more Smart Phones connected (col. 3, lines 6-30). The docking bays and functional modules may be configured to PCMCIA standards. The smart phone elements are integrated with a desktop or a portable computer having docking bays to receive and connect functional modules, such a DSP modules and/or an intelligent module (col. 5, line 55- col. 6, line 11; col. 12, lines 28-47).

Kulberg et al also discloses a portable wireless communications device such as a telephone handset having a vertically corrected antenna module pivotally secured to the handset for rotation about a first pivot axis. The antenna module can be rotated into a predetermined orientation, independent of handset orientation. An antenna mounted on the module is oriented vertically when the module is in the predetermined orientation. When the antenna module is rotated for use, a mechanism incorporated in the module support structure automatically rotates the antenna module about a second pivot axis which is substantially perpendicular to the first. This second rotation places the antenna at an angle that compensates for tilting of the handset during use about an axis parallel to the second axis (col. 2, line 51- col. 3, line 45; col. 5, line 62- col. 6, line 23). Furthermore, the antenna module is mounted in recess in a manner that also provides for rotation about a second pivot axis that is perpendicular to first pivot axis, allowing vertical antenna alignment about two axis (col. 8, lines 38-67; col. 10, lines 11-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2618

apply the technique of Kulberg to the modified system of Kikinis and Constien in order to provide a portable computer that is adapted for use with an antenna module.

Regarding claim 2, Constien as modified discloses a computer system (figs. 1-3), wherein said radio module and antenna module are flush with the outer surface of the casing (col. 6, lines 36-62).

Regarding claim 3, Constien as modified discloses a computer system (figs. 1-3), wherein said radio module is electrically connected to said antenna module via a conductor contained within said display casing (col. 6, lines 22-31).

Claim 6 contains similar limitations addressed in claims 1, 4-5, and therefore is rejected under a similar rationale.

Regarding claim 7, Constien as modified discloses a computer system (figs. 1-3), wherein a radio module is electrically connected to said antenna module via a conductor contained within said display casing (col. 6, lines 22-31).

Regarding claim 8, Constien as modified discloses a computer system (figs. 1-3), wherein a radio module is electrically connected to a plurality of antenna modules via a conductor contained within said display casing (col. 6, line 53- col. 7, line 54).

Regarding claim 9, Constien as modified discloses a computer system (figs. 1-3), wherein said plurality of radio modules is two radio modules and said plurality of antenna modules is three antenna modules (col. 6, line 53- col. 7, line 54).

Regarding claim 10, Constien as modified discloses a computer system (figs. 1-3), wherein said radio module couples to other electronics in said computer system via a digital serial bus (col. 6, lines 15- 62).

Regarding claim 11, Constien as modified discloses a computer system (figs. 1-3), wherein said bus comprises a universal serial bus (col. 6, lines 15- 62).

Regarding claim 12, Constien discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) having a plurality of externally exposed recessed cavities (col. 6, lines 11- 49) formed therein (9 of figs. 2-3; col. 2, lines 26-65; col. 4, lines 2- 46; col. 6, line 53- col. 7, line 54).

However, Constien does not specifically disclose the features of radio and antenna modules can be removably inserted to provide a wireless communication capability for said electronic device.

On the other hand, Kikinis et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a telephone system that employs digital signal processing in a digital telephone having a serial link for connection to a general-purpose computer. The Smart Phone is the central intelligence for the system, which may utilize a PBX connected in a LAN network to multiple computers, including file servers, and each computer may have one or more Smart Phones connected (col. 3, lines 6-30). The docking bays and functional modules may be configured to PCMCIA standards. The smart phone elements are integrated with a desktop or a portable computer having docking bays to receive and connect functional modules, such a DSP modules and/or an intelligent module (col. 5, line 55- col. 6, line 11; col. 12, lines 28-47).

Kulberg et al also discloses a portable wireless communications device such as a telephone handset having a vertically corrected antenna module pivotally secured to the handset for rotation about a first pivot axis. The antenna module can be rotated into a predetermined orientation, independent of handset orientation. An antenna mounted on the module is oriented vertically when the module is in the predetermined orientation. When the antenna module is

Art Unit: 2618

rotated for use, a mechanism incorporated in the module support structure automatically rotates the antenna module about a second pivot axis which is substantially perpendicular to the first. This second rotation places the antenna at an angle that compensates for tilting of the handset during use about an axis parallel to the second axis (col. 2, line 51- col. 3, line 45; col. 5, line 62- col. 6, line 23). Furthermore, the antenna module is mounted in recess in a manner that also provides for rotation about a second pivot axis that is perpendicular to first pivot axis, allowing vertical antenna alignment about two axis (col. 8, lines 38-67; col. 10, lines 11-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Kulberg to the modified system of Kikinis and Constien in order to provide a portable computer that is adapted for use with an antenna module.

Regarding claim 13, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), wherein said radio module and antenna module are flush with the outer surface of the display (col. 6, lines 36-62).

Regarding claim 14, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), wherein a radio module is electrically connected to an antenna module via a conductor contained within said display (col. 6, lines 22-31).

Regarding claim 15, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), including cavities for a plurality of radio modules (col. 7, lines 9-63).

Regarding claim 16, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), including cavities for a plurality of antenna modules (col. 7, lines 9-63).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 17, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), including cavities for a plurality of radio modules and a plurality of antenna modules (col. 7, lines 9-63).

Regarding claim 18, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), wherein a radio module is electrically connected to an antenna module via a conductor contained within said display (col. 6, lines 22-31).

Regarding claim 19, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), wherein a radio module is electrically connected to a plurality of antenna modules via a conductor contained within said display (col. 6, lines 22-31).

Regarding claim 20, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), wherein said plurality of radio modules is two radio modules and said plurality of antenna modules is three antenna modules (col. 6, line 53- col. 7, line 54).

Regarding claim 21, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), including a recessed cavity for a communication hub interconnecting said radio module to said electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 56).

Regarding claim 22, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), wherein said electronic device comprises a notebook computer (col. 6, lines 29- 49).

Regarding claim 23, Constien as modified discloses a display (13 of figs. 2-3) for an electronic device (col. 6, lines 11- 49), wherein said electronic device comprises a handheld computer (col. 6, line 36- col. 7, line 45).

Art Unit: 2618

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marceau Milord whose telephone number is 571-272-7853. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARCEAU MILORD


MARCEAU MILORD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marceau Milord

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2618

Application/Control Number: 10/034,224

Page 9

Art Unit: 2618